

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.18029 of 2017
Date of Decision:16.08.2017

Parmod Kumar and othersPETITIONERS

Vs.

State of Haryana and othersRESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Mr.Lekh Raj Nandal, Advocate for the petitioners.

AMOL RATTAN SINGH J. (ORAL)

By this petition, the petitioners are seeking a step up of their pay to the level of their juniors, in terms of the judgment of this Court in CWP no.11254 of 2010, decided on 15.05.2013, titled as **Neelam Rani Vs. The State of Haryana and others.**

On a specific query, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that no representation of the petitioners has been rejected by the respondents.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the legal notice/representation of the petitioners (Annexure P-9), dated 22.02.2017, and to decide the same in terms of the judgment of this Court in Neelam Ranis' case (supra) within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

If the petitioners' case is not covered by the aforesaid judgment or any other judgment on the subject, detailed reasons as to why it is not covered, shall be given in the speaking order to be passed.

It is also clarified that in case a speaking order has already been passed giving such detailed reasons, then only a short order need be passed in the present petitioners' case, annexing therewith detailed order already passed (if any).

**(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE**

16.08.2017

Anjal

Whether speaking/reasoned? Yes/No

Whether reportable? Yes/No