

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 17959 OF 2017
DECIDED ON: SEPTEMBER 12, 2017

NARESH KUMAR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Surinder Kumar Daaria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

Through, instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to release the difference after regularize the services of the father of the petitioner (deceased employee) according to policy decision dated 27.05.1993, 07.03.1996 as well as 01.10.2003 with all consequential benefits with interest @ 24%.

2. At the very outset of the arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner contends that petitioner feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.2-Principal Chief Conservator of Forest Department Haryana, Panchkula to decide the legal notice dated 04.11.2016 (Annexure P-7), which was duly served upon the respondents, within a stipulated period.

3. Instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-

Principal Chief Conservator of Forest Department Haryana, Panchkula to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated 04.11.2016 (Annexure P-7) and to decide the same, strictly in accordance with the policy decision dated 27.05.1993, 07.03.1996 as well as 01.10.2003, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved of the order passed by the concerned authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 12, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*