

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.23149 of 2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: November 06, 2017

Balwinder Kaur ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. H.C. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.
Mr. Vivek Chauhan, Advocate for respondent No.4-UOI.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Petitioner has posed a challenge to Sports Policy, 2010, according to which certain cash rewards are to be given to sportsmen for outstanding achievements. Petitioner has also prayed that she be considered for recruitment to some appropriate job in the State Government in view of her participation in Para-Olympic Games in 2014 at Dubai. Petitioner is stated to be a visually challenged person.

Mr. Arora, learned counsel for petitioner has based his claim on policy Annexure P-4.

Stand of the State is petitioner is not covered by the policy (Annexure P-4), as she participated in 4th International Open Athletics Meet, Sharjah and not in Para-Olympic Games. Thus, benefits admissible for participation in Para-Olympic Games are not admissible. Besides, there are no instructions for recruitment of petitioner or similarly placed sportsmen in

the government service. Admittedly, the amount of Rs.2.25 lacs, which was directed to be paid to the petitioner in view of order dated 10.02.2015, passed by the coordinate bench (K. Kannan, J.), has already been remitted to her.

In view of stand taken by the State, no case is made out for a direction to be issued in the writ jurisdiction. Disposed of. State shall, however, be at liberty to consider the case of the petitioner, in case any policy is in existence for recruitment in government service, in view of her achievements in sports.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

November 06, 2017
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:

Yes / No