

INDERJIT SINGH AND ORS.

....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ORS.

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Y.M. Bhagirath, Advocate
for the petitioners.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioners have sought the issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to give the salary/pay-scale of Assistant Research Officer for the period mentioned in para 5, they performed the duties of higher post of Assistant Research Officer.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he feels satisfied in case instant petition is treated as representation and direction be issued to respondent No.3 to decide the same within a stipulated period keeping in view the judgment rendered by this Court in the case of ***Jagtar***

Singh & others vs. State of Haryana; 2003 (1) RSJ 795.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.3 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioners in the instant petition and take a conscious decision in accordance with law particularly in view of judgment rendered by this Court in the case of **Jagtar Singh and others vs. State of Haryana; 2003 (1) RSJ 795**, within a period of three months from the date of certified copy of this order. However, if the petitioners still feel aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, they shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

SEPTEMBER 04, 2017
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned Yes/No

Whether reportable Yes/No