

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CWP No.22206 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision: July 06, 2017

Bharpoor Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Ms. Neeraj Rani, Advocate for
Mr. Pardeep Bajaj, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. Vikas Mohan Gupta, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Petitioner has prayed for quashing of enquiry report dated 18.7.2014, Annexure P-7 on the ground that same has not been conducted in a fair and proper manner. Petitioner has also prayed that a fresh enquiry be instituted regarding punishment to respondent No.7 as Anganwari Worker.

Learned State counsel at the outset submits that there is an inter se dispute between the petitioner and respondent No.2. Present writ petition has been instituted with an ulterior motive in view of said dispute. On merits, he contended that selection for the post of Anganwari Worker was made as per procedure. Besides, petitioner is not eligible to be appointed as Anganwari Worker. Petitioner has also a civil dispute with father of respondent No.7.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case, I find no ground to interfere in writ jurisdiction. The stand taken by the State in

its reply has not been controverted by the petitioner by filing any rejoinder. Besides, disputed questions of facts are involved. The writ petition is without any merit and is hereby dismissed.

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

July 06, 2017
'Rajpal'

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes / No
Whether Reportable:	Yes / No