

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.17686 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : August 10, 2017

Sushma Kumari

..... Petitioner

v.

The State of Punjab and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Baldev Kapoor, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

The grievance raised in this writ petition is that the permit of the petitioner had expired on 9.5.2017 and despite having made an application (Annexure P-2) and a representation (Annexure P-7), the same has not been decided despite lapse of a period of three months.

Counsel for the petitioner has argued that he would be satisfied at this stage if in the first instance a direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said application and the representation by passing a speaking order thereon within any reasonable time because it is not only the personal interest of the petitioner which is suffering but also the interest of the travelling public.

I find this to be a fair request. In the circumstances, this writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 that if the

submission of application (Annexure P-2) and representation (Annexure P-7) is correct, the same be decided by passing a speaking order within a period of ten days from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

August 10, 2017
`kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No