

CWP No. 25377 of 2013 (O&M)

::1::

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 25377 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of decision : February 06, 2017

Jai Bhagwan

..... Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana and others,

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Ravi Verma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG Haryana

Mr. MK Sood, Advocate
for respondent No.4.

Ajay Tewari, J (Oral)

By this writ petition, the petitioner is claiming that he had got some construction work done at his own expenses under the RMSA scheme and the respondents should be directed to give him credit of the expenses he has incurred from his own pocket.

In my opinion, disputed questions of fact are involved in this

writ petition viz whether the petitioner incurred any expenses from his

own pocket and if so how much ? Stand of the respondent is that actually the petitioner has not even been able to account for the money which was allocated to him, whereas stand of the petitioner is that not only had he utilized that amount allocated to him but had rather spent money from his own pocket. These disputed questions of fact cannot be gone into in a writ jurisdiction under Article 226 of the Constitution of India. Consequently, this writ petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending C.Ms, if any, also stand disposed of.

February 06, 2017
'kk'

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No