

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.17610 of 2017.

Date of Decision: August 09, 2017

Parmod Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL.

Present: Mr.Mani Ram Verma, Advocate, for the petitioner.

-. -

Surya Kant, J. (Oral)

The principal grievance of the petitioner is against the order dated 10.08.2016 (P-7) passed by the Consolidation Officer, Ganaur whereby the claim of respondent Nos.3 & 4 for making good the deficiency in the allotment of land has again been accepted after the matter was remanded by this Court.

The deficiency has been ordered to be made good out of the *shamlat deh* which vests in Gram Panchayat. However, the Gram Panchayat has not come forward against that order. The petitioner contends that he being the resident/proprietor of the village, has equal locus-standi to challenge that order.

In our considered view, the impugned order is appealable/ revisable by the Director Consolidation. Since the petitioner has got an efficacious alternative remedy, we relegate him to avail the same and dismiss the writ petition with liberty aforementioned. In the interest of justice, it is directed that in case the petitioner files appeal-cum-revision

petition within a period of one month, the Director Consolidation shall, after notice to the parties, make an endeavour to decide the same on merits at the earliest.

Ordered accordingly.

Dasti.

[SURYA KANT]
JUDGE

August 09, 2017
mohinder

[SUDHIR MITTAL]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No