

**119 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.17598 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: August 09, 2017**

Shiv Dutt

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Gaurav Chopra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

By virtue of the civil writ petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature of Mandamus for directing the respondents to grant extension in service to the petitioner, being a physically handicapped person, beyond the date of superannuation of the petitioner from service upon attaining the age of 60 years in terms of Circular No.22/2/2012-3F.P. 2/475 dated 08.10.2012 (Annexure P-3) and Circular No.22/2/2012-3 F.P. 2/471 dated 20.09.2013 (Annexure P-5) issued by the Department of Finance, Government of Punjab as clarified vide Circular No.10/80/2016-3 F.P.2/210 dated 22.05.2017 (Annexure P-16) as well as the judgment passed by this Court in re: *Dr. Jagjivan Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*, 2016(4) SCT 421 along with all consequential benefits and interest @ 18% per annum on the arrears of payable admissible to the petitioner.

The contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is that though the petitioner moved a representation dated 19.06.2017 (Annexure

P-17) to the Commissioner (Food) Department of Food, Civil Supplies & Consumers Affairs, Government of Punjab, Chandigarh seeking extension in service and the benefit of the above instructions as well as the judgment passed by this Court in case *Dr. Jagjivan Singh Vs. State of Punjab and another*, 2016(4) SCT 421 but till date no conscious decision has been taken by the concerned authorities. Learned counsel further contends that he feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.2 in view of the aforesaid instructions as well as the judgment and to take a conscious decision.

Thus, the instant petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated 19th June, 2017 (Annexure P-17) and take a conscious decision within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

In case petitioner still feels dissatisfied of this order, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

August 09, 2017
m. sharma

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No