

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.17573 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision:- 11.12.2017.

Surinder Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

State Bank of India

... Respondent

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rajesh Bindal
Hon'ble Mr. Justice Gurvinder Singh Gill

Present:- Mr. Sumeet Puri, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Raj Kumar Garg, Advocate for the respondent.

Rajesh Bindal, J.

The petitioner approached this Court raising a grievance that the house against which loan was raised by him be not put to sale, as the petitioner was ready and willing to pay the defaulted installments. During the pendency of the present petition, the petitioner has already deposited ₹ 3 lacs with the bank, which is not disputed.

Learned counsel for the bank submitted that the petitioner having deposited ₹ 3 lacs, the bank is not proposing to auction the property owned by the petitioner.

Keeping in view the aforesaid stand taken by learned counsel for the parties, no further orders are required to be passed in the present petition.

The petition stands disposed of accordingly.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

11.12.2017.

pankaj

Whether speaking /reasoned

Whether Reportable

Yes / No

Yes / No

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge