

**212 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CM No.15952 of 2016 in/and
CWP No.20987 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of decision : 31.05.2017**

Raman Sheoran

..... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. Sumit Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

AJAY TEWARI, J.(ORAL)

CM No.15952 of 2016

For the reasons recorded, application is allowed.

Replication/rejoinder to the reply filed by the respondents No.1 and 2 is taken on record.

CWP No.20987 of 2016

This petition has been filed for quashing the order of transfer dated 17.09.2016 (Annexure P-1).

On 27.04.2017 the following order was passed :-

“Learned Sr. DAG prays for some more time to finalize the result of on going transfer drive. Learned counsel for the petitioner points out that a similar statement having been made a period of three and a half months have been granted on that last date of hearing to the respondent and there is no justification for grant of more time.

In view of the rival statements, last opportunity has been granted to respondent to consider the claim of the petitioner to finalize the result of on going transfer drive.

Adjourned to 31.5.2017.”

Learned Senior DAG states that the claim of the petitioner has to be accepted because it is correct that persons lower than him in the merit were accommodated in Charkhi Dadri but it will take some time.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that the petitioner would have no objection but as of now there are 7 vacancies in Charkhi Dadri where the petitioner can be accommodated for the time being.

In view of the fact that the State has accepted that the petitioner had a right to be considered as per his merit, I deem it appropriate to allow the limited prayer made by the learned counsel. In the circumstance, it is directed that the petitioner be accommodated at any of the 7 places mentioned in para 5 of the replication subject to final placement as ultimately made by the respondents. This direction would also be subject to there being a vacancy in any of the 7 places mentioned in paragraph 5.

Petition stands disposed of.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

31.05.2017
pooja sharma-I

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable :

Yes/No
Yes/No