

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

238

CWP-21848-2015 (O&M)
Date of decision:06.09.2017

Anil Kumar

....Petitioner

Versus

Union of India and others

.... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. Nikhil Anand, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S.Baidwan, Advocate for the respondents.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents fairly submitted that grievance relating to the petitioner in respect of promotion to the post of Assistant Sub-Inspector has been granted. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he has no instructions. Written statement was filed and copy has been served to counsel for the petitioner. Therefore, the contention of the petitioner that he has no instructions cannot be accepted.

With the above observation, CWP stands disposed of.

If the petitioner still aggrieved by the order of promotion he is at liberty to file a fresh petition.

(P.B.BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

06.09.2017
pooja saini

Whether speaking/reasons: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No