

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.208

Civil Writ Petition No.22552 of 2014
DECIDED ON: October 23, 2017

K.L. MITTAL

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. D.R. Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Charanjit Singh Bakshi, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of the instant civil writ petition, preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari seeking quashing of the order dated June 19, 2013 (P-11) to the extent of sanctioning lesser amount of the medical reimbursement bill and further giving direction to the respondents to reimburse the medical expenses of the petitioner to which he is entitled as per rules/policy of the Government.

2. Undisputably, the bill for medical reimbursement amounting to Rs.3,44,449/- was submitted by the petitioner in the office of respondent(s). Out of it, initially, an amount of Rs.1,05,424/- was sanctioned but the matter was reconsidered on the representation made by the petitioner and a sum of

Civil Writ Petition No.22552 of 2014

Rs.2,29,861/- was sanctioned which stood paid to the petitioner. The said amount of Rs.2,29,861/- is absolutely in consonance with the PGI rates plus 75% of the balance amount as per the Notification issued by the Director General, Health Services, Haryana vide memo No.18/37-2PM-13/3666, dated May 28, 2013. Thus, so far as Annexure P-11 dated June 19, 2013 is concerned, that has already reviewed by the concerned authorities and is no more existence. The petitioner has already been paid a sum of Rs.2,29,861/- to which he is legally entitled as per the rules, regulations and notifications issued by the Government of Haryana. As far as the other bills submitted by the petitioner for reimbursement are concerned, no specific relief has been claimed. Though, it has been alleged that the sanctioned amount is on the lesser/lower side. For the redressal of those grievances for other bills submitted by him, the petitioner shall be at liberty to approach the concerned authorities and if still not satisfied, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

3. Disposed of accordingly.

October 23, 2017

Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes
Yes/No**