

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 2247 of 2014

Date of decision : 18.07.2017

Birbal

....Petitioner

V/s

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitarn Nigam Ltd. & anr.

....Respondents

BEFORE : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Karan Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Poonia, Advocate for the respondents.

RAJAN GUPTA J.

Petitioner has sought a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing provisional order of assessment, Annexure P-5 passed by respondent no. 2 raising a demand of ₹60,000/- on the petitioner for alleging unauthorized use of energy. Petitioner filed objections against the memo, Annexure P-5 which are still pending for consideration. His grievance is that his objections have not been decided, yet he is being harassed at the hands of respondents.

At the outset, learned counsel appearing for the respondents submits that competent authority shall proceed to decide the objections filed by petitioner expeditiously. It shall not press any action pursuant to letter, Annexure P-7 written to SHO police station Pundri till the decision of the objections. As regards prayer for release of electric connection of the petitioner, learned counsel submits that in case petitioner fulfills all the conditions, connection shall be released to him.

Objections filed by petitioner be decided expeditiously preferably within a period of three months.

In view of statement made by learned counsel appearing for the Nigam, no further order is required to be passed. Disposed of.

July 18, 2017
Ajay

(RAJAN GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether reportable: Yes/No