

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 17243 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision: 11.08.2017

E/ASI Balbir Singh

... Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA.**

Present: Mr. Durga Dutt Sharma, Advocate  
for the petitioner.

**G.S. SANDHAWALIA, J. (ORAL)**

The petitioner seeks directions to the respondents to decide his representation whereby he has requested for promotion to higher rank under ORP policy on the basis of recommendations made by respondent No.4-Superintendent of Police, Hisar vide letter dated 06.02.2013 (Annexure P-1) which is pending for consideration.

It is the case of the petitioner that he had taken keen interest in solving the case in FIR No. 3 dated 01.01.2013 under Sections 394, 397 IPC and 25, 54 and 59 of the Arms Act registered at Police Station Civil Lines, Hisar. On account of the same, recommendation letters dated 06.02.2013 and 06.05.2013 (Annexures P-1 and P-2) were issued by respondent No.4 for promotion under ORP policy which was followed up again on 10.09.2013 (Annexure P-3) but the same have not been decided so far, by respondent No.2.

The petitioner had moved a representation to respondent

No.3 that his case may be considered and his due rights be given but no step as such has been taken. Counsel says that he would be satisfied if a direction is issued to the competent authority, namely respondent No.2 to take a decision on the said representation within fixed time frame.

Notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Ms. Shruti Jain Goyal, AAG Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Keeping in view the above, without commenting on the merits of the case and of the entitlement of the petitioner and the fact that the petitioner had been recommended way back in the year 2013 by respondent No.4 and the competent authority has not acted on the same. Accordingly, the petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to take the decision on the said recommendation within a period of four months from the date of receipt of the certified copy of the order.

Needless to say that in case any adverse order is passed, the same would contain reasons and be communicated to the petitioner.

**(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)**  
**JUDGE**

**August 11, 2017**

kv

Whether speaking/non-speaking: Yes/No  
Whether reportable : Yes/No