

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.17193 of 2017

Date of decision : 08.12.2017

Iqbal Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Mr. H. C. Arora, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner *inter alia* contends that the petitioner is entitled for salary for the period, he has worked but in the impugned order it has been mentioned that the petitioner is not entitled for the salary and is entitled only for subsistence allowance. Learned counsel also submits that the petitioner is apprehending of recovery of that amount which has already been paid, but no such order has been passed. However, the petitioner has made a representation to the respondent-authority on 02.01.2017 but no action has been taken.

Learned counsel further submits that the petitioner would be satisfied in case directions are issued by this Court to the respondents to consider and decide the pending representation within some stipulated period.

By considering the limited prayer of the petitioner, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to consider the pending representation dated 02.01.2017 (Annexure P-6) and take necessary action in accordance with law and relevant rule applicable and by considering the contents of the representation within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of copy of the order.

08.12.2017

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No