

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.21705 of 2015
Date of decision : 02.05.2017

Gram Panchayat Sujanpur Rural and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Yatinder Sharma, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has approached this Court seeking following relief:-

“For issuance of writ of mandamus directing the respondents to ensure payments of all monetary/financial grants/aids to the petitioner Gram Panchayats under the various developmental monetary grants/schemes of the Central and State Governments including the monetary grants under the 13th & 14th Finance Commission Scheme for the years 2014-15 and 2015-16 and under the Kandi Area Development Scheme at par with other Gram Panchayats in District Pathankot.”

Learned counsel for the State submits that grants have already been paid to the petitioners. In this regard, he has referred to para No.1 of the written statement, which reads thus:-

“1. That the petitioners filed the present writ petition for directing the respondents to ensure payments of all monetary/financial grants/aids to the petitioner Gram Panchayats under the village development monetary grants/schemes of the Central and State Governments including the monetary grants under the 13th & 14th Finance Commission Scheme for the years 2014-15 and 2015-16 and under the Kandi Area Development Scheme at par with other Gram Panchayats in District Pathankot. In this regard, it is submitted that the respondent No.2 receipt the monetary grants under 13th Finance Commission and MP LAD Scheme for the year 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16. On the request of Gram Panchayat in District Pathankot the amount of monetary grants under Finance Commission and MP LAD Scheme 2013-14, 2014-15 and 2015-16 have been released to the petitioner Gram Panchayat as under:-

<i>“Sr. No.</i>	<i>Name of Petitioner Gram Panchayat</i>	<i>Amount</i>	<i>Remarks</i>
<i>1.</i>	<i>Petitioner No.1 Gram Panchayat Sujanpur Rural</i>	<i>7,74,986/-</i>	<i>Detailed statement attached</i>
<i>2.</i>	<i>Petitioner No.2 Gram Panchayat Aslampur</i>	<i>9,74,263/-</i>	<i>-Do-</i>
<i>3.</i>	<i>Petitioner No.3 Gram Panchayat Lahri Mahantan</i>	<i>3,29,684/-</i>	<i>-Do-</i>
<i>4.</i>	<i>Petitioner No.4 Gram Panchayat Aasabano</i>	<i>1,52,546/-</i>	<i>-Do-</i>
<i>5.</i>	<i>Petitioner No.5 Gram Panchayat Jhandpur</i>	<i>3,76,922/-</i>	<i>-Do-</i>
<i>6.</i>	<i>Petitioner No.6 Gram Panchayat Nawa Pind Tarf Gujran</i>	<i>8,95,331/-</i>	<i>-Do-</i>
<i>7.</i>	<i>Petitioner No.7 Gram</i>	<i>11,13,162/-</i>	<i>-Do-</i>

Panchayat Ranipur Chikla

8. *Petitioner No.8 Gram* 7,61,821/- -Do-
Panchayat Basroop

In view of aforesaid position the present writ petition has become infractuous which deserves to be dismissed.”

In view of the aforementioned, grievance of the petitioners stands vindicated.

Accordingly, present writ petition stands disposed of.

02.05.2017

Pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether reportable:- Yes/No