

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.17169 of 2017

Date of Decision: August 03, 2017

Raj Kumar and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Jawahar Lal Goyal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

By this petition, the petitioners are seeking step up of their pay to the level of their juniors in terms of the judgment of this Court in CWP no.11254 of 2010, decided on 15.05.2013, titled as **Neelam Rani Vs. The State of Haryana and others.**

In respect of their grievance, the petitioners are stated to have served upon a legal notice upon the respondents, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-9 with the petition; however, no decision has been taken so far.

On a specific query, learned counsel submits that no representation of the petitioners has been rejected by the respondents.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the aforesaid legal notice of the petitioners (Annexure P-9), in terms of the judgment of this Court in Neelam Rani (supra), by passing a speaking order within a period of 4 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of

this order.

If the petitioners' case is not covered by the aforesaid judgment or any other judgment on the subject, detailed reasons as to why it is not covered, shall be given in the speaking order to be passed.

It is also clarified that in case a speaking order has already been passed giving such detailed reasons, then only a short order need be passed now annexing therewith detailed order already passed (if any).

August 03, 2017
vcgarg

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether reportable:

no

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Yes