

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.1712 of 2017

Date of Decision:08.02.2017

Surinder Kumar Verma

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present : Mr. K.S. Jetley, Advocate for the petitioner.

P.B. BAJANTHRI J.

In the instant petition, the petitioner has questioned the validity of the communication of the Commissioner wherein certain adverse orders are passed against the petitioner with reference to inquiry regarding 16 missing files of Vigilance Enquiry Report No.1 dated 13.01.2010 conducted by the State Vigilance Bureau, Ambala Division.

It is only a decision taken by the competent authority. The cause of action would accrued to the petitioner as and when further action is taken by the competent authority in accordance with law. Since Annexure P-1 dated 18.10.2016 is only an internal communication between Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ambala and Superintending Engineer, Municipal Corporation, Ambala. Therefore, no cause of action accrued on 18.10.2016 so as to challenge Annexure P-1 by the petitioner.

Accordingly, petition stands disposed of reserving liberty to the petitioner to approach the concerned respondent, if he is still aggrieved.

08.02.2017
rajeev

(P.B. Bajanthri)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable

Yes/No
Yes/No