

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-22304-2014 (O&M)
Date of decision: 24.03.2017**

Randhir Singh

.....Petitioner

versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: Hon'ble Mr.Justice Kuldip Singh

Present: Mr.Kuldeep Tiwari, Advocate for the petitioner
Mr.Naveen Sheoran, DAG Haryana

- 1. Whether Reporters of Local Newspapers may be allowed to see the judgment ?*
- 2. To be referred to the Reporters or not ?*
- 3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest?*

Kuldip Singh, J. (Oral)

Petitioner has produced a copy of order dated 4.5.2015, which shows that sanction was granted for leave encashment for Rs.3,62,230/- by respondent nos.2 and 3 department and the payment of leave encashment and gratuity was ordered to be released after making the recovery of Rs.2,62,902/-.

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that in pursuance to said sanction, amount has not been paid.

It being so, the present petition is disposed of with directions to respondent nos.2 and 3 to release the balance amount in terms of order dated 4.5.2015 within one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order alongwith interest @ 9% per annum starting from the day it fell due till the payment. However, it shall always be open to the petitioner to challenge the recovery mentioned in the said order by filing a separate writ petition.

24.03.2017

gk

**(Kuldip Singh)
Judge**

Whether speaking/ reasoned:

Yes

Whether Reportable:

No