

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

103

CWP No.20634 of 2016

Date of Decision : 06.02.2017

Surender Kumar

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AJAY TEWARI

Present : Mr. P.S. Jammu, Advocate
for the petitioner.

AJAY TEWARI, J. (Oral)

By this petition the petitioner has challenged the resolution dated 10.06.2016 whereby his services as a contractual sweeper were terminated unanimously.

Learned counsel has argued that the removal is the act of pure vendetta since the petitioner had supported the other person for the post of Sarpanch. In my opinion, this is a pure question of fact which this Court can not go into.

Learned counsel has further argued that no inquiry was held prior to passing of this resolution. In this connection, the impugned resolution (Annexure P-2) records that the petitioner had been given

notice on three occasions and he was neither doing his work properly nor he was marking his attendance in the register. Thereafter, he was issued notice to appear wherein he admitted his mistake. In this view of the matter, no further inquiry would be required once the petitioner has admitted his fault.

Consequently, the petition is dismissed.

Since the main case has been decided, the pending civil miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

February 06, 2017
ashish

(AJAY TEWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned - Yes/No

Whether reportable - Yes/No