

232

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-21458-2015

Date of decision : 20.01.2017

Santokh Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Lok Adalat-cum-District Judge, Patiala and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner is aggrieved of the order dated 11.06.2011 which has been passed by the Presiding Officer, Lok Adalat-cum-District Judge, Patiala on the basis of the consensus arrived at between the parties and in the presence of the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the order is not in consonance with the prayer in the main petition.

If at all the petitioner is aggrieved, the petitioner had to avail the remedy by recalling of the order, but not filing of the writ petition as the District Judge has assumed the role of Lok Adalat while deciding the matter on the basis of the compromise. The provisions of Order 23 Rule 3 CPC are

no longer *res integra*.

At this stage, learned counsel for the petitioner seeks liberty of this Court to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to avail remedy, if any, in accordance with law.

The writ petition is dismissed as withdrawn with aforesaid liberty.

20.01.2017
Yogesh Sharma

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

	✓
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
	✓
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No