

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.24634 of 2013

Date of Decision:-10.03.2017.

Mahabir Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. N.S. Kamboj, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Additional A.G. Haryana.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, the petitioner has sought for a direction to the respondents to consider his name for compassionate appointment. The petitioner's father died on 12.3.2005. Petitioner's mother submitted application on 20.5.2005 for compassionate appointment. During pendency of the said application, the petitioner submitted another application in the year 2009 stating that the petitioner has attained major therefore, his name be considered for compassionate appointment. The Chief Engineer recommended the petitioner's name to the first respondent on 25.11.2011. The first respondent rejected petitioner's claim vide Annexure P-8 dated 03.01.2012 that he is not entitled for appointment under the 2003 Rules. The petitioner has not questioned the validity of Annexure

P-8 dated 03.01.2012 even to this day so as to contend that the petitioner is

entitled for compassionate appointment under the 2003 Rules. Therefore, the petitioner has not made out a case for compassionate appointment. That apart, the petitioner's father died on 12.3.2005. Till date the petitioner's family is surviving, therefore, the petitioner is not entitled for compassionate appointment. At the best, the petitioner is entitled for financial assistance. The respondents are directed to grant financial assistance in accordance with law within a period of 3 months from today along with interest @ 9% per annum from January, 2012 till payment is made.

Petition stands disposed of.

(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE

March 10, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.