

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 20431 of 2016 (O/M)
Date of decision : 19.1.2017

Dharampal

..... Petitioner (s)

Versus

The Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam, Hisar and others

..... Respondent (s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- Mr. Devender Arya, Advocate, for the petitioner.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

-. -

-. -

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

The petitioner in the present writ petition has impugned the orders, passed in June, 2010, 28.6.2010 and 1.7.2010 (Annexure-P-1 to P-3 respectively), vide which certain recoveries were directed to be effected from the retiral benefits of the petitioner.

After arguing for some time, the learned counsel for the petitioner states that he wants to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to approach the appropriate authority by way of filing the representation.

Dismissed as withdrawn with the aforesaid liberty.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

19.1.2017

sjks

Whether speaking / reasoned	:	No
Whether Reportable	:	No