

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-22055-2014
Date of Decision : 27.01.2017

Chandwati

....Petitioner

versus

Chandigarh Administration and others

....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE M.M.S. BEDI

Present: Mr. S.M. Tripathi, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Vikram Anand, Advocate,
for respondents No. 1 and 2.

Mr. Ashish Rawal, Advocate,
for respondent No. 3.

M.M.S. BEDI, JUDGE (ORAL)

The petitioner having not been allotted a flat under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme, 2006, had filed an application under Section 22 (C) (1) of the Legal Services Authority Act, 1987, which application has been dismissed on the ground that since the petitioner did not produce the voter's list for the year 2007 or 2008, she was not eligible for the alternative site. The petitioner had an alternative remedy under the Chandigarh Small Flats Scheme, 2006, under Clause 17 to file

an appeal as per the judgment of this Court passed in CWP No. 10749 of 2016 titled as Nek Ram v. Chandigarh Housing Board and others, decided on August 26, 2016, but she opted for a wrong forum.

In the said circumstances, this Court will also not have any jurisdiction to issue any direction or to interfere the order passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat.

This petition is dismissed without prejudice to the rights of the petitioner to avail any legal remedy available to her in accordance with law. The order passed by the Permanent Lok Adalat will not be an obstacle in case, the petitioner avail appropriate remedy in accordance with law within a period of one month.

(M.M.S. BEDI)
JUDGE

January 27, 2017
apurva

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No