

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.16816 of 2017

Date of Decision: July 31, 2017

Akhil Sharma

...Petitioner

Versus

HUDA and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Kumar Bawa, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that despite respondent no.5 having been posted out of Gurugram to Jhajjar on 21.11.2013, he is still not vacating his official residence at Gurugram, thereby resulting in the petitioner not being allotted a house.

In respect of his grievance, the petitioner is stated to have made a representation to the Administrator, HUDA, Gurugram, respondent no.2 herein, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-5 with the petition. However, no decision has been taken so far.

Consequently, this petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.2 to take a decision on the aforesaid representation of the petitioner by passing a speaking order within a period of one month from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

Needless to say that if respondent no.5 is holding the house in

question against the rules, respondent no.2 shall ensure that it is got vacated immediately.

July 31, 2017
vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes
Whether reportable: no