

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.24553 of 2013

Date of Decision:-19.07.2017.

Shyam Lal

.....Petitioner

Versus

Presiding Officer, Labour Court and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. D.D. Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Hoshiar Singh, Advocate for

Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate for respondent No.2.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

In the instant writ petition, petitioner has assailed the award passed by the Labour Court dated 11.9.2013. Petitioner is stated to have been appointed as a Mali with the second respondent on 1.7.1999 and his services are stated to have been terminated on 3.9.2002. During the intervening period, it is stated that he has worked for 240 days. The same has not been appreciated by the Labour Court. Perusal of the Labour Court award, in particularly para 14 to 16, it is evident that Labour Court has examined muster roll produced by the second respondent and also noticed that petitioner has not worked continuously. There is a break in service. Thus, petitioner has not made out a case so as to interfere with the award passed by the Labour Court on the ground that the petitioner failed to establish that he worked for 240 days from the date of his appointment till abandoning the services.

Accordingly, petition stands dismissed.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

July 19, 2017.

sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.