

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH
Sr. No.: 123

Civil Writ Petition No.16691 of 2017

Date of Decision: July 31, 2017

Siri Bhagwan

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

..... RESPONDENTS

...

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH**

...

PRESENT: - Mr. Wazir Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

. . .

JASPAL SINGH, J (Oral)

By virtue of instant writ petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to release the commutation of pension and payment of gratuity of the petitioner forthwith with further direction to finalise the disciplinary proceedings as well to release the withheld amount of gratuity.

At the very outset of arguments, it has been emerged that prior to the filing of instant writ petition petitioner has neither made representation to respondent No.2 nor to respondent No. 3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner would be satisfied in case instant petition is treated as a representation and be sent to respondent No.3, to decide the grouse ventilated in it within a specified period.

The instant petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.3, to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in this writ petition, which has been treated as a representation on his behalf take an appropriate decision in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment.

However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved of any order passed by the afore-said authority, he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

JULY 31, 2017
SHAM

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/ Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/ No
Yes/ No