

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

128

CWP-16685-2017

Date of Decision:31.07.2017

Mehar Singh and another

....petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE ARUN PALLI

Present: Mr.Mahavir Sandhu, Advocate
for the petitioners

ARUN PALLI, J. (ORAL):

Concededly, the land owned by the petitioners was acquired under the National Highway Act, 1956 (for short, 'the Act'). The competent authority assessed the value of the acquired land, vide award dated 30.01.2012, at ₹ 25,00,000/- per acre. Being aggrieved by the assessment as also the compensation awarded, the petitioners filed a claim under Section 3-G (5) of the Act before the arbitrator for enhancement of compensation.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that vide arbitral award dated 21.03.2017 (Annexure P4), the arbitrator has sustained the assessment made by the competent authority and declined further enhancement.

Faced with the situation, that if the petitioners are indeed aggrieved by the arbitral award, the remedy lies under Section 34 of the Arbitration and Conciliation Act, 1996, learned counsel for the petitioners submits that he be permitted to withdraw the petition so as to enable the

petitioners to resort to remedies as admissible in law.

Dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty as prayed for.

July 31, 2017
neenu

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable-

Yes/No.
Yes/No