

**121 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No. 16682 of 2017
DECIDED ON: JULY 31, 2017**

MEHAR CHAND

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND ANOTHER

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. S.S. Behl, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of an appropriate writ, direction or order quashing the impugned memo dated 30.03.2010 (Annexure P-2) vide which recovery of ₹1,28,679/- already made from the petitioner be refunded to him.

At the very outset, it has been submitted by learned counsel for the petitioner that petitioner has moved representation dated June 16, 2016 (Annexure P-3) but till date no action has been taken. He further submits that he feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to respondent No.3 to decide the representation dated June 16, 2016 within a stipulated period.

Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to

respondent No.3 to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his representation dated June 16, 2016 (Annexure P-3) and to decide the same particularly in view of judgment passed by Hon'ble Apex Court in the case of *State of Punjab and others vs. Rafiq Masih (White Washer) etc.; 2015 AIR (SC) 696*, within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved he shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

JULY 31, 2017
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*