

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.16550 of 2017
Date of Decision: 28.07.2017**

Deen Dayal

....Petitioner

Vs.

Commissioner, Gurugram Division, Gurugram and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present: Mr.Tapan Kumar Yadav, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J. (ORAL)

This petition is filed in order to challenge the appointment of respondent No.3 to the post of Lambardar. It is submitted that there were initially 14 applications but ultimately 3 candidates remained in fray to contest. The Collector appointed respondent No.3 as Lambardar and the Commissioner upheld his order.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the Collector has not taken into consideration the fact that the petitioner has the experience of Sarbrah Lambardar and has retired from Air Force.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and after examining the available record, am of the considered opinion that the order of the Collector does not suffer from any perversity because the Collector has taken into account the merits of both the parties much less it has been found that respondent No.3 is younger in age, better qualified and having more land than the petitioner. These facts prevailed upon the

Collector, at the time when he found respondent No.3 as more suitable person for the purpose of appointment of Lambardar.

In view of the above, I do not find any merit in the present writ petition and as such the same is hereby dismissed.

28.07.2017
Vivek

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes/No*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*