

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.16517 of 2017
DECIDED ON: July 28, 2017

VAKIL SINGH

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Ankit Duggal, Advocate for
Mr. Gurbhajneek Singh Samra, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to release the pending pensionary benefits of the petitioners along with interest on delayed payments @ 9% per annum in lieu of payments already made by respondent No.4, in view of the legal notice dated 21.06.2017 (P-2) which has been withheld by the respondents.

2. Notice of motion.

3. At the asking of the Court, Ms. Akanksha, AAG, Punjab has accepted notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Copy of the petition be supplied to learned State counsel, during the course of the day.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that he feels satisfied in case direction is issued to respondent No.2-Director, Local Government, Plot No.3, Sector 35-A, Chandigarh to decide the legal notice dated 21.06.2016 (Annexure P-2) within a stipulated period.

5. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No. 2-Director, Local Government, Plot No.3, Sector 35-A, Chandigarh to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in his legal notice dated 21.06.2016 (Annexure P-2) and take a conscious decision, in accordance with law, within a period of 3 months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order. In case, respondent No.2 comes to the conclusion that the petitioner is entitled to the relief claimed, to release the same to the petitioner within a period of two months thereafter.

July 28, 2017
sonika

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned *Yes*

Whether reportable *Yes/No*