

247

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No.16423 of 2017

Date of Decision : 03.08.2017

PRIYA SAINI

....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ANOTHER

....RESPONDENTS

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present: Ms. Aashna Gill, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr.Kanwar Goyal, Advocate
for the respondent(s).

AMOL RATTAN SINGH, JUDGE (ORAL)

Pursuant to the order dated 27.07.2017, the report of the Expert Committee to which questions were referred, as were contended to be incorrectly framed or incorrectly answered in the answer key taken as the standard answers by the respondent-Commission, has been produced in Court today in a sealed cover by Shri Kanwar Goyal, learned counsel for the respondent-Haryana Public Service Commission, a perusal of which shows that as regards the questions in booklet series 'A', three questions were found to be wrongly answered or wholly wrongly framed, and two questions were found to be not properly framed but as per the Committee they could still be considered and were not required to be discarded outright.

However, Mr. Kanwar Goyal, on instructions submits that as a matter of fact all five such questions and answers were discarded. Though

the recommendation produced in Court is only with regard to booklet series 'A', learned counsel for the respondent-Commission further submits that the same questions which appeared in a different serial order in the different booklet series, were also discarded.

Keeping in view the above and the fact that even after discarding of the questions as recommended by the Expert Committee, the petitioner did not make it on the merit list on the basis of the percentile in the remaining questions, I see no purpose in continuing with this writ petition, which is consequently dismissed.

The report of the Committee be re-sealed and returned to learned counsel for the Commission.

(AMOL RATTAN SINGH)
JUDGE

August 03, 2017
rajneesh

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No