

123 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.16400 of 2017
Date of decision: July 27, 2017

Meshar DeviPetitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunny Singla, Advocate
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J.(ORAL)

Through the instant civil writ petition preferred under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ especially in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to release the arrear of revised family pension, DCRG, Travel concession and other pensionary benefits to petitioner and interest on the delayed payments of the pensionary benefits in terms of statutory rules, Govt. Instructions and law laid down by Full Bench of this Court in *R.S. Randhawa Vs. State of Punjab*, 1997(3) RSJ 318.

At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner feels satisfied in case a direction is issued to the official respondent(s) to decide the representations dated 17.05.2016, 14.06.2016 and 30.01.2017 (Annexure P-5 to P-7) within a stipulated period.

Instant petition is disposed of with the direction to the official respondent(s) to consider the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in representations dated 17.05.2016, 14.06.2016 and 30.01.2017 (Annexure P-5 to P-7) and take a decision within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

July 27, 2017 (JASPAL SINGH)
m. sharma JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No