

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16399-2017

Date of Decision: July 27, 2017

Dharambir and another	Petitioners
Versus	
State of Haryana and others	Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |

.....

Present: Mr.Vikram Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

The order has been passed by the Deputy Commissioner, Sonapat, observing that road in dispute was constructed way back in the year 1982 and thereafter has been repaired many times by PWD (B&R) Department. The petitioners, however, insists that the alignment of the road is now being changed.

Since the writ petition raises disputed questions of fact, learned counsel for the petitioners seeks to withdraw the same with liberty to approach the higher authorities including the Commissioner, Rohtak Division, Rohtak.

Ordered accordingly.

Let such higher authorities consider the petitioners' claim.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

July 27, 2017

meenuss

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |