

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 16335 of 2017

Date of decision : July 26, 2017

Avtar Sharma

..... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Present:- Mr. D. S. Randhawa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J (oral).

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in appeal, the order of suspension was stayed but on 9.3.2017 it was vacated because counsel for the petitioner was not present. It is submitted that the next date before the court below in the appeal is 3.8.2017.

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he would argue the case on 3.8.2017 and in the meantime the stay granted on 12.4.2016 may be restored. He has further submitted that after the stay was granted, four times the appellate court was not available and twice the case was adjourned on the request of the petitioner but the stay was vacated because the petitioner could not appear on 9.3.2017.

After hearing learned counsel for the petitioner and taking into consideration the facts and circumstances of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to the Financial Commissioner, who is seized of the appeal to decide the same on 3.8.2017.

Till then the order dated 12.4.2016 is restored.

It is made clear that if the learned counsel for the

petitioner would not appear on the date already fixed then the impugned order would come into operation automatically.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

July 26, 2017
archana

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No