

205

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3605-2012

Date of decision : 06.02.2017

Renu Sood and another

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present: Mr. Shiv Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (ORAL)

The grievance of the petitioners in the present writ petition is for issuance of an appropriate writ in the nature of mandamus directing respondent Nos.1 to 3 to allot the adjacent land to the petitioners on the rates to which similarly situated persons have been allotted and in this regard, he has made two representations (Annexures P-5 and P-9).

The stand of the respondent had been that the petitioner's case is not covered under the old offer or the policy as the petitioner had not applied in pursuance to the offer given to the similarly situated persons as per offer dated 23.10.1986, much less, stated to have purchased the property from the erstwhile allottee in the year 2010, though, it is only the new rates would be applicable.

Be that as it may, instead of deciding the aforementioned

controversy, I deem it appropriate to dispose of the present writ petition with a direction to the respondent(s) to decide the representations (Annexures P-5 and P-9) pragmatically by taking into consideration all the facts and conditions of the offer, much less, prevailing laws at the relevant point of time within a period of four months from the date of the receipt of the certified copy of the order after affording the opportunity of hearing to the State.

The petitioner is also directed to give the additional documents to show his entitlement viz-a-viz the claim of the similarly situated persons.

With the aforesaid observations, the present writ petition stands disposed of.

06.02.2017
Yogesh Sharma

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

	✓
Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/ No
	✓
Whether Reportable	Yes/ No