

**HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-16323-2017

Date of Decision: July 26, 2017

Jarnail Singh @ JailaPetitioner
Versus
State of Haryana and othersRespondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL**

- | | | |
|----|---|---------|
| 1. | To be referred to the Reporters or not? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether the judgment should be reported in the Digest? | Yes/No. |
| 3. | Whether Reporters of local papers may be allowed to see the judgment? | Yes/No |

.....

Present: Dr.Naresh Kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

.....

SURYA KANT, J.

Pursuant to two separate eviction applications filed against the petitioner, one by the Gram Panchayat and another by private persons, two eviction orders have been passed against him. The petitioner has filed two appeals alongwith stay applications. As no order on the stay applications has been passed, the aggrieved petitioner has filed the instant writ petition.

[2] Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and without expressing any views on merit, the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2-Collector, Kaithal, to decide the stay applications filed by the petitioner within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

[3] Let status quo re: demolition be maintained for a period of two weeks.

**(SURYA KANT)
JUDGE**

July 26, 2017
meenuss

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

- | | | |
|----|-----------------------------|--------|
| 1. | Whether speaking/reasoned ? | Yes/No |
| 2. | Whether reportable ? | Yes/No |