

**225 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.20707 of 2015 (O&M)
Date of decision : March 23, 2017**

Dhian Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Punjab State Power Corporation and another Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDIP SINGH

Present:- J.S. Jaidka, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Meena Bansal, Advocate for the respondents.

1. Whether the Reporters of local newspaper may be allowed to see the judgment ?
2. To be referred to the Reporter or not.
3. Whether the judgment should be reported in the digest ?

KULDIP SINGH J. (ORAL)

It comes out that the petitioner has also filed CWP No.12502 of 2011, titled as “*Dhian Singh v PSPCL and others*” for release of full pension and other retiral benefits. The said writ petition is stated to be admitted on 25.05.2013. The present writ petition has been filed for grant of interest on the delayed payment of retiral benefits.

It also comes out that now the entire retiral benefits have been released.

I am of the view that if the petitioner is entitled to interest on the delayed payment, the same is covered under the first writ petition. Therefore, the second writ petition on the same ground does not lie. Since the payments have been made during the pendency of the first writ petition,

therefore, the petitioner is always at liberty to press for interest on the delayed payment in the first writ petition.

In view of the aforesaid, the present petition is disposed of.

(KULDIP SINGH)
JUDGE

March 23, 2017

sarita

Whether speaking / reasoned Yes

Whether Reportable: No