

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-16108-2017 (O&M)

Date of decision:- 25.07.2017

Anand Parkash Gupta

...Petitioner

Versus

Haryana Urban Development Authority, Sector-6, Panchkula
through its Chief Administrator and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE S.J. VAZIFDAR, CHIEF JUSTICE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARINDER SINGH SIDHU

Present:- Mr. Ramnish Puri, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

* * * *

S.J. VAZIFDAR, C.J. (ORAL)

The petitioner seeks a refund of 25% of the consideration paid by him in respect of a plot allotted to him by an allotment letter dated 24.11.2011.

2. The petitioner contends that although clause 22 states that possession of the plot was offered, in fact, possession was not given. He further states that there has been no development around the plot to date. At this stage, the petitioner states that he would be satisfied if the respondents only respond to his advocate's letter dated 15.06.2017 (Annexure P-7) raising the demand.

3. We express no views whatsoever on the merits of the petitioner's contentions or his claim.

4. The petition is disposed of only with a direction to the respondents to respond to the petitioner's letter dated 15.06.2017 (Annexure P-7) by 30.09.2017. Needless to state that all the rights and contentions of the parties are kept open.

(S.J. VAZIFDAR)
CHIEF JUSTICE

(HARINDER SINGH SIDHU)
JUDGE

25.07.2017

Amodh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No