

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.21325 of 2014
Date of Decision:-13.01.2017.

Rahul

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE P.B. BAJANTHRI

Present: Mr. S.P. Chahar, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Rathee, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Hemant Bassi, Advocate for respondent No.3.

P.B. BAJANTHRI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for the petitioner fairly submitted that during pendency of the litigation, the respondents have taken back the petitioner for duty. Thus, the petition do not survive for consideration as the same has become infructuous.

Accordingly, petition stands dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

**(P.B. BAJANTHRI)
JUDGE**

January 13, 2017.
sandeep sethi

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes / No

Whether Reportable:-

Yes / No.