

IN THE HIGH COURT FOR THE STATES OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.16093 of 2017

Date of Decision: July 25, 2017

Vikram Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMOL RATTAN SINGH

Present:- Mr. Sandep Verma, Advocate, , for the petitioner.

Amol Rattan Singh, J. (Oral)

Though the maintainability of the petition itself would also be in question, the petitioner not being an employee affected by the appointment of respondent no.4, however, since forgery and fraud are alleged against respondent no.4, in respect of which the petitioner has made a complaint/representation to respondent no.2, a copy of which has been annexed as Annexure P-5 herewith, without making any comment on the contentions raised in this petition, this petition is disposed of with a direction to the competent authority amongst the respondents to take a decision on the aforesaid complaint/representation of the petitioner, by passing a speaking order within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

July 25, 2017

vcgarg

(Amol Rattan Singh)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether reportable: no