

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

1. CWP No.20561 of 2015
Date of decision : 10.05.2017

Rattan Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

2. CWP No.21821 of 2015
Date of decision : 10.05.2017

Jasbir Singh and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL.

Present: Mr. Rakesh Kumar, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Yatinder Sharma, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

This order of mine shall dispose of aforementioned two writ petitions.

The petitioner(s) has approached this Court seeking following relief:-

“For issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent Nos.2 to 3 to decide and finalize the process

of allotment of the Nazool land, to the petitioner and others and to put the petitioner and other similar situated members, in possession after completing the necessary formalities as per (Annexure P-3) and also in view of (Annexure P-1), proposal/allotment list issued by respondent Nos.2 and 3, whereby the petitioner and others have been found eligible for the allotment of the Nazool land, in the interest of justice. With in some stipulated period, as the petitioner and other members have not been provided the above said land since long and some of the similar situated persons have been allotted the land who are the members of the society, since 1990, and in possession of the land, in view of the scheme. It is further prayed that the direction may kindly be given to the respondents to decide the representation Annexure P-7, P-8, P-12, in the interest of justice.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that he will satisfied in case representation of the petitioner (Annexures P-7 and P-8) which have been submitted in terms of the orders as well as written statement filed by the State in CWP No.1313 of 1990 (Annexure P-4), is decided.

Mr. Yatinder Sharma, Addl. A.G. Punjab submits that State would not be averse in deciding the representations and shall take everything into consideration i.e. stand taken in the present writ petition also and shall also afford the opportunities to the petitioner to represent the case.

In view of the aforementioned fact, the present writ petitions stand disposed of with direction to the respondent No.2 to decide the representations (Annexure P-7 and P-8) taking into consideration the reply

dated 13/14.11.2006 (Annexure P-4) filed in CWP No.1313 of 1990 in most pragmatic and objective manner. The petitioner is also at liberty to place on record any materials to substantiate their cause as indicated in the writ petition and respondent No.2 shall pass the order in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Accordingly, both writ petitions stand disposed of.

10.05.2017

Pawan

**(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:-

Yes/No

Whether reportable:-

Yes/No