

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

Civil Writ Petition No.20542 of 2015

Date of Decision: May 22, 2017

Manjit Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana & others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL, JUDGE

Present: Mr.Vivek K. Thakur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr.Rajbir Singh, AAG, Haryana,
for the State.

AMIT RAWAL, J. (Oral)

The grievance of the petitioner in the present case is that the impugned order dated 15.9.2014 (Annexure P-3), whereby the Deputy Commissioner, Bhiwani had directed the Block Development and Panchayat Officers Officer, Dadri-II/respondent No.5 to assess the amount and recover the same from the petitioner as per the provisions of Section 53 of the Haryana Panchayati Raj Act, 1994, is not only erroneous, but not sustainable.

Identical matter, i.e., Civil Writ Petition No.909 of 2015 (Manjit Kaur Versus State of Haryana & others), was allowed by this Court vide order dated 30.3.2017, wherein the impugned order was set-aside.

The present writ petition is allowed in the same terms.

May 22, 2017
ramesh

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No