

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH
Sr. No.: 122

Civil Writ Petition No.15972 of 2017

Date of Decision: July 24, 2017

Patoi @ Patori

..... PETITIONER

VERSUS

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam & others

..... RESPONDENTS

...

CORAM: **HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH**

...

PRESENT: - Mr. Jasmer Singh Rozera, Advocate
for the petitioner.

. . .

JASPAL SINGH, J

By virtue of instant writ petition preferred under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought issuance of a writ, in the nature of mandamus, directing the respondents to release the interest on revised pension from the date of entitlement i.e. 01.01.1996 @ 18% as per the guidelines of the respondent department (Annexure P-4)

At the very outset of arguments, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that petitioner would be satisfied in case instant petition is treated as a representation and be sent to respondent No.4, to decide the grouse ventilated in it within a specified period.

The instant petition is disposed of with direction to respondent No.4, to look into the grievances unfolded by the petitioner in

this writ petition, which has been treated as a representation on her behalf take an appropriate decision in accordance with law within a period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this judgment.

However, if the petitioner still feels aggrieved of any order passed by the afore-said authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

JULY 24, 2017
sham

(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/ Reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/ No
Yes/ No