

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.121

**Civil Writ Petition No.15971 of 2017
DECIDED ON: July 24, 2017**

SUMAN LATA

..PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS.

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASPAL SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunil Kumar Bhardwaj, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

JASPAL SINGH, J. (ORAL)

By virtue of instant petition preferred under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, petitioner has sought the issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondent No.2 to regularize the services of husband of the petitioner in view of regularization policy dated March 07, 1996 (Annexure P-11) along with all other consequential benefits as per representation earlier submitted by the husband of the petitioner has not been decided and further directing the respondent authorities to grant the benefit of financial assistance to the petitioner as her husband had expired during employment in the year 2013 and to grant all service benefits to the petitioner, for the service rendered by the husband of the petitioner after

Civil Writ Petition No.15971 of 2017

regularizing his services as large number of other similarly situated employees have already been regularized by respondent-Department as per the information supplied by itself.

2. At the very outset, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that representation dated September 30, 2011 (Annexure P-8) moved by the husband of the petitioner as well as representation dated August 14, 2015 (Annexure P-10) moved by the petitioner have been served upon the respondents for the necessary relief but no action is being taken and she would be satisfied if a direction is issued to respondent No.4 to consider and decide the said representations within a specified period.

3. Accordingly, instant petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.4 to consider and decide the representations dated September 30, 2011 (Annexure P-8) and dated August 14, 2015 (Annexure P-10) within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

4. However, if petitioner still feel aggrieved by any order of the afore-said authority, she shall be at liberty to approach this Court.

July 24, 2017

Sham/Ankur

**(JASPAL SINGH)
JUDGE**

**Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable**

**Yes/No
Yes/No**