

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 15913 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.12.2017

Shanti Devi

.. Petitioner

v.

State of Haryana and others

.. Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BINDAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURVINDER SINGH GILL

Present: Mr. Alok Jain, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Additional Advocate General, Haryana with
Mr. Manoj Dhankhar and Mr. Shivendra Swaroop,
Assistant Advocates General, Haryana.

...

Rajesh Bindal J.

The petitioner has approached this court claiming that acquisition of land in question has lapsed in view of Section 24(2) of the Right to Fair Compensation and Transparency in Land Acquisition, Rehabilitation and Resettlement Act, 2013 (for short, 'the 2013 Act'). Notifications under Sections 4 and 6 of the Land Acquisition Act, 1894 were issued on 24.12.2007 and 23.12.2008, respectively. Award was announced by the Land Acquisition Collector (for short, 'the Collector') on

23.6.2010.

As the award in the present case was announced by Collector on 23.6.2010 and till the enactment of the 2013 Act on 1.1.2014, five years had not lapsed, no claim can be made under Section 24(2) of the 2013 Act.

Further, plea of discrimination is sought to be raised. However, considering the fact that the petition has been filed in the year 2017 and the award was announced by Collector on 23.6.2010, we do not find any reason to entertain the petition on that ground at this belated stage. Accordingly, even on that ground, no case for interference is made out.

The writ petition is dismissed.

(Rajesh Bindal)
Judge

(Gurvinder Singh Gill)
Judge

11.12.2017
mk

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable:	Yes/No