

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA, CHANDIGARH

CWP No. 15900 of 2017

Date of decision : July 21, 2017

Kapil Chaudhari

..... **Petitioners**

Versus

Uttar Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam Ltd. and others

..... **Respondents**

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAKESH KUMAR JAIN

Mr. Parmod Chauhan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

RAKESH KUMAR JAIN, J (oral).

The petitioner has been asked to deposit Advance Consumption Deposit as well as Service Connection Charges.

It is alleged that the petitioner has made a representation to respondent Nos. 3 to 5. He has prayed that a direction may be issued to decide his representation.

I have heard learned counsel for the petitioner and am of the considered opinion that for the disputes aforementioned the petitioner has a remedy for redressal of his grievance before the Consumer Grievance Commission which are constituted by the Distribution Licensee as has been done with regard to Dakshin Haryana Bijli Vitran Nigam.

The present petition is thus, dismissed with the aforesaid liberty. However, in case there is no Consumer Grievance Cell, the petitioner may file an application for revival of this petition.

(RAKESH KUMAR JAIN)
JUDGE

July 21, 2017

archana

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No