

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP No.3075 of 2012
Date of decision:20.01.2017**

Satnam Singh

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL

Present:- Mr. M.K.Sajjan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Yatinder Sharma, Addl.A.G.Punjab.

AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)

This Court called upon the petitioner to apprise about the locus standi with regard to filing of the objections against the enquiry report submitted by the Commissioner regarding the representation/complaint dated 28.06.2009.

Mr. M. K.Sajjan, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner submits that the revenue record does not pertain to his ownership or land holding. It was a general complaint. The enquiry report of the Commissioner is totally against the factual aspect, therefore, the objections in this regard have been filed.

In the absence of any locus standi, I deem it appropriate that this writ petition cannot proceed further as it has not been filed in the public interest. The petitioner is at liberty to seek remedy in accordance with law

but cannot seek the vindication of the grievance as indicated above. Even the effected person has a right for vindication of the grievance as per the provisions of Section 45 of Punjab Land Revenue Act.

No ground is made out for interference in the impugned order.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed.

(AMIT RAWAL)
JUDGE

January 20, 2017
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No