

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA  
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.7009 of 2011 (O&M)  
Date of decision:03.02.2017

Ritu Chowdhary and others

... Petitioners

Vs.

National Commission for Women and another

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AMIT RAWAL**

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Khunger, Advocate and  
Mr. S.S.Salar, Advocate  
for the petitioner Nos.1, 2 & 4.

Mr. Rahul Sharma, Advocate  
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Mukul Aggarwal, Advocate  
for respondent No.2.

**AMIT RAWAL J. (Oral)**

This case has been heard at length. The petitioners have challenged the show cause notice issued by the National Commission for Women on the premise that it had not have any jurisdiction.

After hearing the arguments at some length, when this Court had already applied its mind, Mr. Mukul Aggarwal, learned counsel representing respondent No.2 – complainant, on instructions from the complainant – Mrs. Sunaina Choudhary, who is present in the Court and duly identified by him submits that she does not want to pursue the complaint.

In view of such situation, I deem it appropriate that instead of putting the lawyers in difficulty, statement of Mrs. Sunaina Choudhary, respondent No.2/complainant be recorded, vide separate order.

In view of the statement made by Mrs. Sunaina Choudhary, recorded vide separate order today, the complaint dated 23.02.2010 (Annexure P-27) is ordered to be withdrawn. Resultantly, all consequential proceedings initiated by her become without jurisdiction, much less null and void.

The statement of Mrs. Sunaina Choudahry recorded in a separate order shall also form part of this order.

Accordingly, the writ petition stands dismissed as infructuous.

**(AMIT RAWAL)**  
**JUDGE**

**February 03, 2017**  
savita

Whether Speaking/Reasoned

Yes/No

Whether Reportable

Yes/No